UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,135	09/08/2003	Harold M. Aznoian	B0751/7024	5402	
22832 7590 05/21/2007 Kirkpatrick & Lockhart Preston Gates Ellis LLP (FORMERLY KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM) STATE STREET FINANCIAL CENTER One Lincoln Street BOSTON, MA 02111-2950			EXAMINER		
			KASZTEJNA, MATTHEW JOHN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3739		
	1		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	05/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

\subset	٦.
Y	γ
 •	ü

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/658,135	AZNOIAN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Matthew J. Kasztejna	3739	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solid part of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•			
1) 🂢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ehruary 2007	•	
2a)[action is non-final.		
·	Since this application is in condition for allowar		prosecution as to the merits is	
-ر	closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·	•	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 2-23 is/are pending in the application.			
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
·	Claim(s) 2-23 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·	
	on Papers	· ·		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	·		
,	The specification is objected to by the Examilie The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2005</u> is/are		ested to by the Eveminer	
10)[•	•	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		···	
· 11\\	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · ·	· ·	
·		animer. Note the attached Of	ince Action of form F 10-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
۵)ز	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		cation No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		
	application from the International Bureau	•	erved in this ivational stage	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aived	
		or mis definited deploy not love		
Attachmen	t(s)		•	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ		
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	all Date nal Patent Application	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Notice of Amendment

In response to the amendment filed on February 27, 2007, amended claims 1 and 12 are acknowledged.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- A) An endoscope and a treatment accessory integrated at the distal end of the endoscope shaft wherein the treatment accessory further comprises a needle (see Fig. 4c).
- B) An endoscope and a treatment accessory integrated at the distal end of the endoscope shaft wherein the treatment accessory further comprises a staple, an anvil and a staple drive (see Fig. 24).
- C) An endoscope and a treatment accessory integrated at the distal end of the endoscope shaft wherein the treatment accessory further comprises a tissue grasping device operated to grasp tissue and pull it through an access port (see Fig. 26).

The species are independent or distinct because they are substantially dissimilar and structurally divergent tissue apposition devices for integration with an endoscope.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 2 and 12 are generic.

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Joyce Hersh on May 9, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 3739

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kasztejna whose telephone number is (571) 272-6086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3739

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJK عدم

5/9/07

LINDA C. M. DVORAK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3700